



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on MARCH 11, 2002

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: _____

AMELIA GROTH

Attorney Docket No.: 407E-000500US
Client Ref. No.: 2001-328-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

MARTINS-GREEN, Manuela et al.

Application No.: 09/811,162

Filed: March 16, 2001

For: CHEMOKINES AND METHODS FOR
INDUCING THE DIFFERENTIATION OF
FIBROBLASTS TO MYOFIBROBLASTS

Examiner: DeBerry, Regina M.

Art Unit: 1647

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION
REQUIREMENT**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Please reconsider the Restriction Requirement mailed January 11, 2002, in view
of the following remarks. The following documents are enclosed herewith:

- 1) A transmittal sheet;
- 2) A fee transmittal sheet;
- 3) A petition to extend the period of response for 1 month; and,
- 4) A receipt indication postcard.

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Applicants elect proposed Group I (claims 1-8, 19, and 20). Applicants further
provisionally elect the amino acid sequences drawn to IL8, SEQ ID NOS:8 and 9, with traverse.

THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the restriction requirement mailed January 11, 2002, the Examiner required
restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 to one of the following eleven claim groups, which the Examiner
characterized as follows:

#10
J.P.
4/2/02
RECEIVED
APR 01 2002
TECH CENTER 1600/2900